



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,299	09/06/2000	Jean-Francois Moyersoen	204,797	9580
7:	590 05/24/2002			
Abelman Frayne & Schwar			EXAMINER	
150 East 42nd S New York, NY			WASYLCHAR	K, STEVEN R
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1		Ĺ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/656,299	MOYERSOEN, JEAN-FRANCOIS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven R. Wasylchak	2164	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	NTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repless of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI e, cause the application to become ABA	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	2000		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2			
	his action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 8</u> is/are pending in the appli	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 8</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	olication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has bee	en received.	
Attachment(s)	p, ando. 50 0.0.0. 3	J	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/656,299

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 3,4,5, and 8 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petit et al (US 5,551,692) and further in view of Storey (US 5,774,870).

As per claim 2,

A method of selling and purchasing at least one object of purchase over a computer network, said method comprising the following steps:

a. utilizing a software program on a computer of a purchaser to search for
 and find a site on said computer network offering said at least one object of purchase; /
 col 1, L 33-54. Pettit fails to teach the features of software on a purchaser's
 computer.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art, for example in the form of modified cookies to contain purchasing software. It would have been obvious to one of feature for the advantage of immediate exposure to free items and thus increase the

Art Unit: 2164

ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature to increase the possibility of sales.

b. browsing through an on-line catalog at said site to find said at least one object of purchase, each of said at least one object of purchase having attributed to it a probability of obtaining said each of said at least one object of purchase at no cost to said purchaser; / col 1, L 33-54

- c. selecting said at least one object of purchase for purchase; / col 1, L 33-44
- d. confirming an order for said at least one object of purchase; / col 1, L 33-54.

Pettit fails to teach the feature of confirmation.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of avoiding bookkeeping errors and thus keeping an accurate set of books.

- e. determining whether payment must be made for said each of said at least one object of purchase; and / col 1, L 34-54
- f. paying for only those objects of purchase from said at least one object of purchase for which payment was determined to be required in step e. / col 1, L 37-54

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of increased product convenience to thus enhance the

Art Unit: 2164

possibility of future sales.

-wherein said step of determining whether payment must be made for said each of said at least one object of purchase comprises the following steps:

d. Pettit et al teaches at least one object of purchase (col 2, L 32-57). Pettit fails to teach determining the number of said each of said ordered since an event selected from the group of events.

However, Storey teaches determining the number of said each of said ordered since an event selected from the group of events (abstract, fig 1, 2; col 1, L 37-46)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this limitation for the advantage of attracting customers with a chance of winning a prize and thus increase sales by having more customers.

- (i) Pettit et al teaches at least one object of purchase (col 2, L 32-57). Pettit does not teach said each of said at least one object of purchase was delivered at no cost to a prior purchaser. However, Storey teaches determining the number of said each of said ordered since an event selected from the group of events (fig 2, 3, 4) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this limitation for the advantage of attracting customers with a chance of winning a prize and thus increase sales by having more customers.
- (ii) Pettit et al teaches at least one object of purchase (col 2, L 32-57). Pettit does not teach purchase was first offered for sale. However, Storey teaches purchase was first offered for sale (fig 2, 3, 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this limitation for the advantage of attracting customers with a chance of winning

Art Unit: 2164

a prize and thus increase sales.

e. Pettit et al teaches payment for said each of said at least one object of purchase (col 2, L 32-57). Pettit does not teach offering said each of said at least one object of purchase to said purchaser at no cost if said number is equal to predetermined value. However, Storey teaches offering said each of said at least one object of purchase to said purchaser at no cost if said number is equal to predetermined value (fig 1, 2, 3, 4; col 2, L 8-27; col 8, L 17-41; col 9, L 6-44)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this limitation

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this limitation for the advantage of attracting customers with a chance of winning a prize and thus increase sales by having more customers.

f. Pettit et al teaches payment for said each of said at least one object of purchase (col 2, L 32-57). Pettit does not teach if said number is not equal to said predetermined value. However, Storey teaches said number is not equal to said predetermined value. (fig 1, 2, 3, 4; col 2, L 8-27; col 8, L 17-41; col 9, L 6-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this limitation for the advantage of attracting customers with a chance of winning a prize and thus increase sales by having more customers.

This action is FINAL. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Wasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

Art Unit: 2164

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 2164 is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Steven Wasylchak

ر 5/ 17 /02

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

7600

Page 6